

**Selected Bibliography**  
**NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems**  
**NSF Award SES-0608791**

---

**Nano Legislation and Agency Reports**

21<sup>st</sup> Century Nanotechnology Research and Development Act. 15 U.S.C. § 7501 (2003).

FDA Nanotechnology Task Force. *Nanotechnology: A Report of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration Nanotechnology Task Force*. (Washington, DC: Food and Drug Administration, 2007).

*Inside EPA*. EPA Poised To Propose New Reporting Rules For Nanomaterials. Dec. 25, 2009.

National Nanotechnology Initiative Amendments Act of 2009. S.1482 §§ 6, 8, 11.

National Nanotechnology Initiative. Environmental, Health, and Safety Research Needs for Engineered Nanoscale Materials. Report prepared by National Science and Technology Council Committee of Technology, Subcommittee on Nanoscale Science, Engineering, and Technology, 2006.

National Nanotechnology Initiative. Strategic Plan. Report prepared by National Science and Technology Council Committee of Technology, Subcommittee on Nanoscale Science, Engineering, and Technology, December 2007.

National Nanotechnology Initiative. Supplement to the President's 2011 budget, Report prepared by National Science and Technology Council Committee of Technology, Subcommittee on Nanoscale Science, Engineering, and Technology, February 2010.

National Research Council. A Matter of Size: Triennial Review of the National Nanotechnology Initiative. 2006. Available at [http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\\_id=11752](http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=11752).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Nanoscale Materials Stewardship Program: Interim Report. (U.S. EPA, Office of Pollution Protection and Toxics, 2009). Available at <http://epa.gov/oppt/nano/nmsp-interim-report-final.pdf>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Nanotechnology White Paper. (U.S. EPA, Science Policy Council, 2007). Available at <http://www.epa.gov/osa/pdfs/nanotech/epa-nanotechnology-whitepaper-0207.pdf>.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). Petition for Rulemaking Requesting EPA Regulate Silver Products as Pesticides. *Federal Register* 2008:73(224):69,644-69,646.

**Other Government Reports**

Government Accountability Office (GAO). Chemical Regulation: Observations on Improving the Toxic Substances Control Act. GAO-10-292T, Dec. 2, 2009.

## Selected Bibliography

### NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems NSF Award SES-0608791

---

Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ). *CEQ and OSTP Assessment: Case Studies of Environmental Regulations for Biotechnology*. (Washington, DC: Office of Science and Technology Policy, 2001).

Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ). *OSTP 2.0 Critical Upgrade Enhanced Capacity for White House Science and Technology Policymaking: Recommendations for the Next President*. (Washington, DC: Office of Science and Technology Policy, 2008).

Office of Science & Technology Policy (OSTP). Coordinated Framework for the Regulation of Biotechnology. *Federal Register* 1986;51(123):23,302-23,393.

#### Nano Oversight Framework Proposals

Abbott KW, Marchant GE, Sylvester DJ. 2006. A Framework Convention for Nanotechnology? *Environmental Law Reporter*. 2006;36:10931-10942. Available at [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=946777](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=946777).

Bowman DM, Hodge GA. Nanotechnology: Mapping the Wild Regulatory Frontier. *Futures*. 2006;38(9):1060-1073. Available at [http://www.innovation.gov.au/Programsandservices/SubmissionstotheNanotechnologyStrategyTaskforce2006/Documents/no\\_14\\_Mapping\\_the\\_wild\\_regulatory\\_frontier20070502112601.pdf](http://www.innovation.gov.au/Programsandservices/SubmissionstotheNanotechnologyStrategyTaskforce2006/Documents/no_14_Mapping_the_wild_regulatory_frontier20070502112601.pdf).

Breggin LK, Carothers L. Governing Uncertainty: The Nanotechnology Environmental, Health, and Safety Challenge. *Columbia Journal of Environmental Law*. 2006;31(2):286-329.

Davies JC. EPA and Nanotechnology: Oversight for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. (Washington DC: Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies, 2007).

Davies JC. Managing the Effects of Nanotechnology. (Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2006). Available at <http://www.wilsoncenter.org/events/docs/Effectsnanotechfinal.pdf>.

Davies JC. *Oversight of Next Generation Nanotechnology* (Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2009). Available at <http://www.nanotechproject.org/process/assets/files/7316/pen-18.pdf>.

DuPont, Environmental Defense. *Nano-Risk Framework*. (Washington, DC: DuPont/Environmental Defense, 2007). Available at [http://www.edf.org/documents/6496\\_Nano%20Risk%20Framework.pdf](http://www.edf.org/documents/6496_Nano%20Risk%20Framework.pdf).

## Selected Bibliography

### NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems NSF Award SES-0608791

---

ETC Group. *NanoGeoPolitics: ETC Group Surveys the Political Landscape*. ETC Group Special Reports – Communiqué No. 89, 2005. Available at <http://etcgroup.org/documents/Com89SpecialNanoPoliticsJul05ENG.pdf>.

ETC Group. *No Small Matter II: The Case for a Global Moratorium*. Occasional Paper Series 7(1). (Winnipeg: ETC Group, 2003). Available at [http://www.etcgroup.org/upload/publication/165/01/occ.paper\\_nanosafety.pdf](http://www.etcgroup.org/upload/publication/165/01/occ.paper_nanosafety.pdf).

Greenwood, Mark. *Thinking Big About Things Small: Creating and Effective Oversight System for Nanotechnology*. (Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2007). Available at [http://www.nanotechproject.org/file\\_download/files/Greenwood%20-%20OPEN%207.pdf](http://www.nanotechproject.org/file_download/files/Greenwood%20-%20OPEN%207.pdf).

Howard J, Murashov V. National Nanotechnology Partnership to Protect Workers. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2009;11(7):1673-1683.[Howard & Murashov 2009b]

International Center for Technology Assessment (ICTA), Friends of the Earth. *Principles for the Oversight of Nanotechnologies and Nanomaterials*. (Washington, DC: ICTA, 2007). Available at [http://www.cleanproduction.org/library/Principles\\_Nano\\_finaldesign.pdf](http://www.cleanproduction.org/library/Principles_Nano_finaldesign.pdf).

Jacobstein N. *Foresight Guidelines for Responsible Nanotechnology Development*. (Foresight Nanotech Institute, 2006). Available at <http://www.foresight.org/guidelines/ForesightGuidelinesV6.pdf>.

Keiner S. *Room at the Bottom? Potential State and Local Strategies for Managing the Risks and Benefits of Nanotechnology*. (Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2008). Available at [http://www.nanotechproject.org/process/assets/files/6112/pen11\\_keiner.pdf](http://www.nanotechproject.org/process/assets/files/6112/pen11_keiner.pdf).

Kuzma J, Romanchek J, Kokotovich A. Upstream Oversight Assessment for Agrifood Nanotechnology. *Risk Analysis*. 2008;28(4):1081-1098.

Linkov I, Satterstrom FK, Steevens J, Ferguson E, Pleus RC. Multi-criteria Decision Analysis and Environmental Risk Assessment for Nanomaterials. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2007;9(4):543-554.

Marchant GE, Sylvester DJ, Abbott KW. Risk Management Principles for Nanotechnology. *Nanoethics*. 2008;2(1):43-60.

Marchant GE, Sylvester D. Transnational Models for Regulation of Nanotechnology. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2006;34(4):714-725.

Maynard AD. Nanotechnology: The Next Big Thing, or Much Ado About Nothing? *Annals of Occupational Hygiene*. 2006;51(1):1-12.

## Selected Bibliography

### **NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems NSF Award SES-0608791**

---

Meaney, Mark E. 2006. Lessons from the Sustainability Movement: Toward an Integrative Decision-Making Framework for Nanotechnology. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2006;34(4):682-688.

PEN 2007. Nanotechnology and Life Cycle Assessment: A Systems Approach to Nanotechnology and the Environment. Washington DC: Project on Emerging Nanotechnologies.

Renn O, Roco M. *White Paper on Nanotechnology Risk Governance*. (Geneva: International Risk Governance Council, 2006). Available at [http://www.irgc.org/IMG/pdf/IRGC white paper 2 PDF final version-2.pdf](http://www.irgc.org/IMG/pdf/IRGC_white_paper_2_PDF_final_version-2.pdf).

Roco, MC. Possibilities for Global Governance of Converging Technologies. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2008;10(1):11-29. Available at <http://www.springerlink.com/content/m171782q88445223/>.

#### **Nano Oversight Studies and Commentaries**

American Bar Association Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources. *Regulation of Nanoscale Materials Under the Toxic Substances Control Act*. 2006. Available at <http://www.abanet.org/environ/nanotech/pdf/TSCA.pdf>.

American Bar Association Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources. RCRA Regulation of Wastes from the Production, Use, and Disposal of Nanomaterials. 2006. Available at <http://www.abanet.org/environ/nanotech/pdf/RCRA.pdf>.

American Bar Association Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources. The Adequacy of FIFRA to Regulate Nanotechnology-Based Pesticides. 2006. Available at <http://www.abanet.org/environ/nanotech/pdf/FIFRA.pdf>.

American Bar Association Section of Environment, Energy, and Resources. CERCLA Nanotechnology Issues. 2006. Available at <http://www.abanet.org/environ/nanotech/pdf/CERCLA.pdf>.

Balbus J, Denison R, Florini K, Walsh S. Getting Nanotechnology Right the First Time. *Issues in Science and Technology Online*. 2005;21(4).

Bergeson LL, Auerbach B. The Environmental Regulatory Implications of Nanotechnology. *Daily Environment Report*. 2004;71:B-1-B-7.

Best R, Khushf G, Wilson R. Introduction: A Sympathetic but Critical Assessment of Nanotechnology Initiatives. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2006; 34(4):655-657.

**Selected Bibliography**  
**NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems**  
**NSF Award SES-0608791**

---

- Castro F. Legal and Regulatory Concerns Facing Nanotechnology. *Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property*. 2004;4(1):140-146.
- Kuzma J. Moving Forward Responsibly: Oversight for the Nanotechnology-Biology Interface. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2007; 9(1):165-182.
- Kuzma J. The Nanotechnology-Biology Interface: Exploring Models for Oversight. 2005. Available at [http://www.hhh.umn.edu/img/assets/9685/nanotech\\_jan06.pdf](http://www.hhh.umn.edu/img/assets/9685/nanotech_jan06.pdf).
- Kuzma J. Nanotechnology Oversight: Just Do It. *Environmental Law Reporter*. 2006;36:10913-10923.
- Miller J. Beyond Biotechnology: FDA Regulation of Nanomedicine. *Columbia Science and Technology Law Review*. 2003;4(5):1-35.
- Paradise J, Tisdale AW, Hall R, Kokkoli E. Evaluating Oversight of Human Drugs and Medical Devices: A Case Study of the FDA and Implications for Nanobiotechnology. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2009;37(4):598-624. [Paradise et al. 2009a]
- Paradise J, Wolf S.M., Kuzma J, Kuzhabekova A, Tisdale AW, Kokkoli E, Ramachandran G. Developing U.S. Oversight Strategies for Nanobiotechnology: Learning from Past Oversight Experiences. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2009; 37(4):688-705. [Paradise et al. 2009b]
- Paradise J, Wolf SM, Ramachandran G, Kokkoli E, Hall R, Kuzma J. Developing Oversight Frameworks for Nanobiotechnology. *Minnesota Journal of Law, Science & Technology*. 2008;9(1):399-416.
- Renn O. Roco MC. Nanotechnology and the Need for Risk Governance. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2006;8(2):153-191.
- Reynolds GH. Nanotechnology and Regulatory Policy: Three Futures. *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology*. 2003;17(1):179-210.
- Taylor MR. Regulating the Products of Nanotechnology: Does FDA Have the Tools It Needs? (Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2006). Available at [http://www.nanotechproject.org/file\\_download/files/PEN5\\_FDA.pdf](http://www.nanotechproject.org/file_download/files/PEN5_FDA.pdf).
- Wolf SM, Gupta R, Kohlhepp P. Gene Therapy Oversight: Lessons for Nanobiotechnology. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2009;37(4):659-684.
- Wolf SM, Ramachandran G, Kuzma J, Paradise J, eds. Symposium on "Developing Oversight Approaches to Nanobiotechnology: The Lessons of History." *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2009;37(4):543-789.

## Selected Bibliography

### **NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems NSF Award SES-0608791**

---

Wolinsky W. Nanoregulation: A Recent Scare Involving Nanotech Products Reveals that the Technology is Not Yet Properly Regulated. *Science and Society*. 2006;7(9):858-861.

Zhou W. Ethics of Nanobiotechnology at the Frontline. *Computer & High Technology Law Journal*. 2003;19(2):481-489.

#### **Nano EHS Risk Assessment and Management**

Choi J, Ramachandran G, Kandlikar M. The Impact of Toxicity Testing Costs on Nanomaterial Regulation. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2009;43(9):3030-3034.

Engel-Cox JA et al. Conceptual Model of Comprehensive Research Metrics for Improved Human Health and Environment. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2008;116(5):583-592.

Howard J, Murashov V. Essential Features for Proactive Risk Management. *Nature Nanotechnology*. 2009;4(8):467-470.[Howard & Murashov 2009a]

Kandlikar, M., Ramachandran, G., Maynard, A.D., Murdock, B., Toscano, W.A., Health risk assessment for nanoparticles: A case for using expert judgment. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 9:137-156, 2007.

Kuzma J, VerHage P. *Nanotechnology in Agriculture and Food Protection*. (Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2006).

Maynard AD. *Nanotechnology: A Research Strategy for Addressing Risk*. (Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2006).

Robichaud CO, Tanzil D, Weilenmann U, Wiesner MR. Relative Risk Analysis of Several Manufactured Nanomaterials: An Insurance Industry Context. *Environmental Science & Technology*. 2005;39(22):8985-8994.

Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering. *Nanoscience and Nanotechnologies: Opportunities and Uncertainties*. 2004.

Shatkin, J. (2008). *Nanotechnology Health and Environmental Risks*. Taylor & Francis Group, LLC: Boca Raton, FL.

Shaw, S.Y., Westly, E.C., Pittet, M.J., Subramanian, A., Schreiber, S.L., Weissleder, R. (2008). Perturbational profiling of nanomaterial biologic activity. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 105, no. 21, 7387–7392.

Wardak A, Gorman M. Using Trading Zones and Life Cycle Analysis to Understand Nanotechnology Regulation. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2006;34(4):695-703.

## Selected Bibliography

### **NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems NSF Award SES-0608791**

---

Wardak A, Gorman ME, Swami N, Deshpande S. Identification of Risks in the Life Cycle of Nanotechnology-Based Products. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*. 2008;12(3):435-448.

#### **Public Perception, Engagement, and Participation**

BMRB Social Research. Nanotechnology: Views of the General Public: Quantitative and Qualitative Research Carried Out as Part of the Nanotechnology Study. Prepared for the Royal Society and Royal Academy of Engineering Nanotechnology Working Group. 2004.

Cobb MD, Macoubrie J. Public Perceptions About Nanotechnology: Risks, Benefits, and Trust. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*. 2004;6(4):395-405.

Hamlett P, Cobb MD, Guston DH. *National Citizens' Technology Forum: Nanotechnologies and Human Enhancement*. CNS-ASU Report #R08-0003; 2008.

Macnaghten, P, Kearnes MB, Wynne B. Nanotechnology, Governance, and Public Deliberation: What role for the Social Sciences? *Science Communication*. 2005;27(2):268-291.

Macoubrie J. Nanotechnology: Public Concerns, Reasoning, and Trust in Government. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2006;15(2):221-241.

Macoubrie J. *Informed Public Perception of Nanotechnology and Trust in Government* (Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2005).

Philbrick M, Barandiaran J. The National Citizens' Technology Forum: Lessons for the Future. *Science and Public Policy*. 2009;36(5):335-347.

Powell MC, Colin M. Meaningful Citizen Engagement in Science and Technology. *Science Communication*. 2008;30(1):126-136.

Powell MC, Kleinman DL. Building Citizen Capacities for Participation in Nanotechnology Decision-Making: The Democratic Virtues of the Consensus Conference Model. *Public Understanding of Science*. 2008;17(3):329-348.

Rejeski D. FDA and Nanotechnology: Public Perceptions Matter. (Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, 2006). Available at [http://www.nanotechproject.org/file\\_download/files/RejeskiFDA.pdf](http://www.nanotechproject.org/file_download/files/RejeskiFDA.pdf).

Rip A. Folk Theories of Nanotechnologists. *Science as Culture*. 2006;15(4):349-365.

Siegrist M., Keller C, Kastenholtz H, Frey S, Wiek A. Laypeople's and Experts' Perception of Nanotechnology Hazards. *Risk Analysis*. 2007;27(1):59-69.

## Selected Bibliography

### NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems NSF Award SES-0608791

---

Wilsdon J, Willis R. *See Through Science: Why Public Engagement Needs to Move Upstream*. (London: Demos, 2004).

#### Dynamic/Adaptive Oversight

Gunderson LH, Holling CS. *Panarchy: Understanding Transformations in Human and Natural Systems*. (Washington, DC: Island Press, 2002).

Guston DH, Sarewitz D. Real-time Technology Assessment. *Technology in Society*. 2002; 24(1-2):93-109.

Guthrie DM. Engaged Governance: An Institutional Approach to Government-Civil Society Engagement. 2003. Available at <http://unpan1.un.org/intradoc/groups/public/documents/un/unpan013171.pdf>.

Hendriks CM, Grin J. Ground Reflexive Governance in Practice and Context: Some Democratic Considerations. 2006. Available at [http://www.sozial-oekologische-forschung.org/media/Hendriks\\_Grin.pdf](http://www.sozial-oekologische-forschung.org/media/Hendriks_Grin.pdf). [This is a pre-publication draft. Authors need to be contacted before citing to this.]

Holling CS, ed. *Adaptive Environmental Assessment and Management*. (London: John Wiley & Sons, 1978).

Karkkainen BC. Information-Forcing Environmental Regulation. *Florida State University Law Review*. 2006;33:861-902.

Karkkainen BC. Panarchy and Adaptive Change: Around the Loop and Back Again. *Minnesota Journal of Law, Science & Technology*. 2005;7(1):59-77.

Kuzma J, Larson J, Najmaie P. Evaluating Oversight Systems for Emerging Technologies: A Case Study for Genetically Engineered Organisms. *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics*. 2009;37(4):546-586.

Mandel GN. Regulating Emerging Technologies. *Law, Innovation and Technology*. 2009;1(1):75-92.

Neo BS, Chen G. *Dynamic Governance: Embedding Culture, Capabilities and Change in Singapore*. (New Jersey: World Scientific, 2007).

Scholz JT, Stiftel B, eds. *Adaptive Governance and Water Conflict*. (London: Resources for the Future Press, 2005).



## Selected Bibliography

### **NIRT: Evaluating Oversight Models for Active Nanostructures and Nanosystems NSF Award SES-0608791**

---

Schot J, Rip A. The Past and Future of Constructive Technology Assessment. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 1996;54(2):251-268.

Tait J. Upstream Engagement and the Governance of Science: The Shadow of the Genetically Modified Crops Experience in Europe. *EMBO Reports*. 2009;10(Suppl. 1):S18-22.

Tikhomorov A. Engage Governance and Public Policy During Transition to a Market Economy. 2005. Available at <http://www.engagingcommunities2005.org/abstracts/Tikhomirov-Alexei-final.pdf>.

Trustnet in Action. What is inclusive governance? Available at [http://www.trustnetinaction.com/article.php3?id\\_article=166](http://www.trustnetinaction.com/article.php3?id_article=166).

Voss JP, Kemp R. Reflexive Governance for Sustainable Development: Incorporating Feedback in Social Problem Solving. Paper for ESEE Conference, June 14-17, 2005 in Lisbon. Available at <http://kemp.unu-merit.nl/pdf/Voss-Kemp%20Reflexive%20Governance%20for%20ESEE%202005.pdf>.

Wiener JB. The Regulation of Technology, and the Technology of Regulation. *Technology in Society*. 2004;26(2-3):483-500.