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Pathways toward an integrated renewable energy certificate market

Project nature and importance

Renewable energy has emerged as a central strategy for climate change mitigation in the electric power sector (Pacala & Socolow, 2004). This research proposes to address the patchwork of state policies for renewable energy and their interaction with private ventures, deriving lessons for the design of an integrated renewable energy market. With renewable energy certificates (REC), environmental benefits of renewable generation are sold separately from the physical unit of electricity. Given transmissions constraints of the electric grid and the need for siting renewable generation in proximity to adequate resources, REC have become the instrument of choice for providing flexibility and innovation (Mozumder & Marathe, 2004). Faced with the alternative between building their own renewable capacity and purchasing RECs, utilities are expected to choose the least cost option (Berry, 2002).

The development of a national market for renewable energy, however, has not kept pace with these hopes. While market mechanisms are potentially powerful tools for achieving environmental policy objectives, their state-by-state implementation in the renewable electricity sector is not amenable to creating an integrated market. REC markets are modeled on pollution allowance trading schemes, but they differ in how markets are defined, allowances are created and uncertainty is structured (Chupka, 2003), resulting in heightened challenges for efficient market functioning.

In addition, compliance markets for renewable energy feature complex interactions with voluntary markets for renewable energy and carbon (Bird & Lokey, 2007) and regulated emissions allowances markets (Gillenwater 2008b). Another complicating aspect in REC markets is associated with the need to prevent double-counting (Gillenwater, 2008a) and provide assurance that RECs stem from eligible resources and geographic areas and are not past their compliance period (Berry, 2002). While most states have a certificate tracking system to ensure no double counting is taking place, many have left the definition of RECs and their certification to the private sector (Holt & Wiser, 2007). As a result, REC products are offered by a large number of purveyors and their certification is undertaken by several competing actors.

In view of these challenges, and of the urgent need to include electricity production and consumption in climate change mitigation efforts (Pacala & Socolow, 2004), I propose to
analyze the barriers and opportunities towards an integrated renewable energy market. More specifically, I will examine the uncertainties related to future carbon regulations and the interactions of state renewable portfolio standards with other environmental commodity markets. States have served as laboratories of climate change policy for the electric energy sector (Rabe, 2008). In the absence of binding harmonized rules in the REC market, they are the main driver of renewable energy market creation. The proposed project builds on my current research, which examines differences in incentive-based aspects of state renewable energy policy and their effect on technology deployment. Based on existing databases and reviews of legislative texts, I am mapping the landscape of existing state policies in the renewable energy sector, and developing a larger analytic framework to assess the synergies and contradictions resulting from this bottom-up approach to generating an integrated market.

Building on this effort, I want to more closely examine the dynamics of the renewable energy market in four geographically diverse states, MN, TX, GA and MA. MN and TX both have high levels of renewable energy policy and technology adoption. MN has the strongest renewable standard in the country, is 2nd in wind power deployment and has an important biomass resource. TX is the oldest REC market in the U.S. and is first in wind power deployment. GA and MA were included in the study since renewable energy plays a small or diminishing role in their overall electric energy system. GA is one of the states without a state renewable power mandate, whereas MA has a mandate, that has proven ineffective in driving renewable policy deployment. The four states also serve to contrast the functioning of REC markets in regulated (MN, GA) vs. deregulated (MA, TX) states.

In these four states, I plan to conduct 30 interviews this summer with participants in the compliance markets (regulators, utilities and regional tracking system organizations), and the voluntary renewable energy market (independent power producers, marketers, certifiers). My primary goal is to gain in-depth understanding of the development and evolution of the policy landscape for renewable energy. The four case studies will help identify the institutional set-ups that are most advantageous for providing low-cost climate change mitigation and moving toward an integrated market for renewable energy certificates. By contrasting compliance markets with voluntary renewable energy markets, I will tease apart the synergies and inconsistencies between them.

**Innovative contribution to interdisciplinary work on the environment**

This project strategically integrates several traditionally separate areas of research: technology diffusion and deployment; analysis of energy and environmental policy; comparative analysis of states and identification of state differences, as well as private governance analysis. This integration will contribute to theory development in each of these areas by providing empirical evidence to support new theory about state-level influences on shifting to more sustainable technologies. The unique and strategic integration of traditionally separate areas of research will enhance and encourage future opportunities for cross-disciplinary research.

Practically, this research will provide insights useful to both public and private actors involved in the deployment and diffusion of renewable energy technologies for climate
change mitigation. Policy design and instrument choice determine cost-benefits of environmental policies (Goulder & Parry, 2008) making deeper knowledge of market functioning urgent. It expands the conceptualization of efficient REC markets to include interactions with other environmental commodity markets, both voluntary and compliance.

**Work plan**

June:
- Collect information on state renewable energy policies from the Database for State Incentives for Renewable Energy (DSIRE, 2008) and state legislative texts

July:
- Develop structured interview guideline
- Set up interviews

August/September:
- Conduct interviews

October:
- Transcribe interviews

November:
- Systematically code interviews and analyze data

December:
- Write thesis chapter, prepare article for submission to Environmental Science and Policy Journal
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