- 1. Affleck P. (2009) Is it ethical to deny genetic research participants individualised results. Journal of Medical Ethics 35: 209-213.
- Aldington S, et al., "Incidental Findings from Lung CT Scans: Implications for Research," Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology, 55, no. 1 (2011): 20-25.
- 3. American Medical Association. (June 2002) Code of Medical Ethics, Opinion 2.079 Safeguards in the Use of DNA Databanks in Genomic Research.
- 4. Auray-Blais, et al. (2006) A biobank management model applicable to biomedical research. BMC Medical Ethics 7(4):1-9.
- 5. Barnes, et al. (2004) The 'future uses' dilemma: Secondary uses of data and materials by researchers and commercial research sponsors. Medical Research Law & Policy 3:1-11.
- Berg JS, et al., "Deploying Whole Genome Sequencing in Clinical Practice and Public Health: Meeting the Challenge One Bin at a Time," Genetics in Medicine (2011), e-pub ahead of print available at <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21558861</u>
- 7. Berland LL, et al., "Incidental Findings on Abdominal CT: White Paper of the ACR Incidental Findings Committee," J. Am. Coll. Radiol. 7, no. 10 (2010): 754-773.
- 8. Berlin L, "The Incidentaloma: A Medicolegal Dilemma," Radiologic Clinics of North America 49, no. 2 (2011): 245-55.
- 9. Beskow LM, Burke W. (2010) Offering Individual Genetic Research Results: Context Matters. Science Translational Medicine 38(2). (pdf not available)
- 10.Beskow LM, Friedman JY, Hardy NC, Lin L, Weinfurt KP. (2010) Developing a Simplified Consent Form for Biobanking. PLOS ONE 5(10): e13302.
- 11.Beskow LM, Linney KN, Rodney Radtke et al. (2010) Ethical Challenges in Genotype-Driven Research Recruitment. Genome Research 20 (6):705.
- 12.Beskow LM, Smolek SJ. (2009) Prospective biorepository participants' perspectives on access to research results. Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics 4(3): 99-111.
- 13.Booth TC, et al., "Incidental Findings Found in "Healthy" Volunteers During Imaging Peformed for Research: Ethical Implications," Br. J. Radiol. 83, no. 990 (2010): 456-65.
- 14.Bovenberg J, Meulenkamp T, Smets E, Gevers S. (2009) Biobank research: Reporting results to individual participants. European Journal of Health Law 16(3): 229-247.
- Bregman-Eschet Y. (2006-07) Genetic database and biobanks: Who controls our genetic privacy. Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal 23(1):1-54.
- 16.Brothers KB, "Biobanking in Pediatrics, The Human Nonsubjects Approach," Personalized Medicine 8, no. 1 (2011): 71-79.

http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/735060

- 17.Brown DA, Hasso AN. (2008) Toward a uniform policy for handling incidental findings in neuroimaging research. AJNR Am J Neuroradial 29(8): 1425-1427.
- 18.Caplan A. (2009) What no one knows cannot hurt you: The limits of informed consent in the emerging world of biobanking. The Ethics of Research Biobanking.
- 19.Caulfield T, McGuire AL, Cho M, et al. (2008) Research ethics recommendations for whole-genome research: consensus statement. PLoS Biology 6(3): 430-435.
- 20.Cho MK. (2008) Understanding incidental findings in the context of genetics and genomics. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 280-285.
- 21.Couzin-Frankel J, "What Would You Do?," Science 331, no. 6018 (2011): 662-65.
- 22.S. Cramer, "A System for Addressing Incidental Findings in Neuroimaging Research," NeuroImage 55, no. 3 (2011): 1020-23.
- 23.Christensen KD, "Disclosing Individual CDKN2A Research Results to Melanoma Survivors: Interest, Impact, and Demands on Researchers" Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 20, no. 3 (2011): 522-529.
- 24.Clayton EW, et al. (2010) Confronting Real Time Ethical, Legal and Social Issues in the Electronic Medical Records and Genomics (eMERGE) Consortium. Genetics in Medicine 12(10):616-620.
- 25.Clayton EW. (2005) Informed consent and biobanks. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 33(1):1073-1105.
- 26.Clayton EW. (2008) Incidental findings in genetics research using archived DNA. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 286-291.
- 27.Conley JM, Cadigan RJ, Davis A, et al. (2010) The discourse of DNA: What research subjects say about participating (or not) in a genomic biobank. UNC Legal Studies Research Paper No. 1554744 (SSRN): 1-56.
- 28.Denny CC, Wilfond BS, Peters JA, et al. (2008) All in the family: disclosure of "unwanted" information to an adolescent to benefit a relative. American Journal of Medical Genetics: Part A 146A(21): 2719-2724.
- 29.Dept. of Energy (DOE), Dept. of Defense (DOD), Dept. of Veterans' Affairs (VA). Human Subjects Protection Resource Book. May 3, 2007.
- 30.Ding A, et al., "The Economic Burden of Incidentally Detected Findings," Radiol. Clin. N. Am 49, (2011): 257-265.
- 31.Dressler L. (2009) Disclosure of Research Results from Cancer Genomic Studies: State of the Science. Clinical Cancer Research 15(13): 4270-4276.
- 32.Ducournau, et al. (2009) Trust, distrust and co-production: The relationship between research biobanks and donors. The Ethics of Research Biobanking.
- 33.Evans BJ, Meslin EM. (2006) Encouraging translational research through harmonization of fda and common rule informed consent requirements for research with banked specimens. Journal of Legal Medicine 27:119-166.

- 34.Fabsitz R, et al. (in press) Ethical and Practical Guidelines for Reporting Genetic Research Results. Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics.
- 35.Fernandez C. (2008) Public expectations for return of results—time to stop being paternalistic? American Journal of Bioethics 8(11): 46-48.
- 36.Fisher R. (2008) A closer look: Are we subjects or are we donors? American Journal of Bioethics 8(11): 49-50.
- 37.Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Comparison of FDA and HHS Human Subject Protection Regulations. 2000.
- 38.Forsberg JS, Hansson MG, Eriksson S. (2009) Changing perspectives in biobank research: From individual rights to concerns about public health regarding the return of results. European Journal of Human Genetics 17: 1544-1549.
- 39.Fullerton SM, Anderson NR, Guzauskas G, Freeman D, Fyer-Edwards K. (2010) Meeting the Governance Challenges of Next-Generation Biorepository Research. Science Translational Medicine 2(15).
- 40.Freda P, et al., "The Hormone Foundation's Patient Guide to Pituitary Incidentaloma Assessment and Treatment," J. Clin Endocrinol. Metab. 96, no. 4 (2011): 35A-6A.
- 41.Girod J, Drabiak K. (2008) A proposal for comprehensive biobank research laws to promote translational medicine in Indiana. Indiana Health Law Review 5: 217-250.
- 42.Girod J, et al. (2008) A proposal for comprehensive biobank research laws to promote translational medicine in Indiana. Indiana Health Law Review 5:220-223.
- 43.Goldberg S. (2007) MRIs and the perception of risk. American Journal of Law & Medicine 33: 229-237.
- 44.Gordon MP. (2009) A legal duty to disclose individual research findings to research subjects. Food & Drug Law Journal 64: 225-260.
- 45.Gottweis H, et al. (2010) Biobank governance in the post-genomic age. Personalized Medicine 7(2): 187-195.
- 46.Greely HT. (2007) The uneasy ethical and legal underpinnings of large-scale genomic biobanks. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics 8:359.
- 47.Grigorenko EL. (2009) Biobanking on a small scale: Practical considerations of establishing a single-researcher biobank. Stanford Journal of Law, Science & Policy 45:33-45.
- 48.Gundermann L, et al. (2008) Co-determination of Donors in Biobanks. Principles and Practice in Biobank Governance. Editors Kaye J, Stranger M. (no pdf)
- 49.Gupta SN, Belay B. (2008) Intracranial incidental findings on brain MR images in a pediatric neurology practice: A retrospective study. J Neurol Sci 264(1-2): 34-37.

- 50.Gurwitz D, Fortier I, Lunshof JE, Knoppers BM. (2009) Children and population biobanks. Science 325(5942): 818-819.
- 51.Haddow G, et al., "Can the Governance of a Population Genetic Data Bank Effect Recruitment? Evidence from the Public Consultation of Generation Scotland," Public Understand. Sci 20, no.1 (2011): 117-129.
- 52.Haga SB, Beskow LM. (2008) Ethical, legal, and social implications of biobanks for genetics research. Advances in Genetics 60: 505-544.
- 53.Haga SB, Beskow LM. (2008) Ethical, legal, and social implications of biobanks for genetics research. Advances in Genetics 60: 505-544.
- 54.Haga SB, et al., "Public Attitudes Toward Ancillary information Revealed by Pharmacogenetic Testing Under Limited Information Conditions," Genetics in Medicine (2011) e-pub ahead of print available at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21633294.
- 55.Hallowell N, Cooke S, Crawford G, et al. (2010) An investigation of patients' motivations for their participation in genetics-related research. Journal of Medical Ethics 36: 37-45.
- 56.Hansson MG, Maschke KJ, Brothers KB, Clayton EW, et al. (2009) Responses and reply to Gurwitz et al. "Children and population biobanks." Science 326(5954): 797-799.
- 57. Hansson MG. (2009) Ethics and biobanks. British Journal of Cancer 100: 8-12.
- 58. Hansson MG, "Taking the Patient's Side: The Ethics of Pharmacogenetics," Personalized Medicine 7, no. 1 (2010): 75-85.
- 59. Hauser RM, Weinstein M, Pool R, eds. (2010) Conducting Biosocial Surveys: Collecting, Storing, Accessing and Protecting Biospecimens and Biodata. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
- 60.Heaney C, et al. (2009) The perils of taking property too far. Stanford Journal of Law, Science & Policy 45:46-64.
- 61.Helgesson G, & Eriksson S, "Does Informed Consent Have an Expiry Date? A Critical Reappraisal of Informed Consent as a Process," Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics 20 (2011): 85-92.
- 62.Heinemann T, Hoppe C, Weber B, Elger CE. (2009) Ethically appropriate handling of incidental findings in human neuroimaging research: Letter to the guest editorial of Frank Hentschel and Rudiger von Kummer [2]. Clinical Neuroradiology 19(3): 242-3; author reply 244.
- 63.Hens K, et al., "The Return of Individual Research Findings in Paediatric Genetic Research," J. Med. Ethics 37, (2011): 179-183.
- 64.Hens K, et al., "Risks, Benefits, Solidarity: A Framework for the Participation of Children in Genetic Biobank Research," J. Pediatrics 158, no. 5 (2011): 842-48.

- 65.Hentschel F, Klix WE. (2006) Management of incidental findings in neuroimaging in diagnosis and athophysiological research. Fortschritte der Neurologie-Psychiatrie 74(11): 651-655. (pdf not available)
- 66.Hentschel F, von Kummer R. (2009) German Society of Neuroradiology. Concentrating on the next version: Reply to the Letter by Thomas Heinemann et al. to the guest editorial of Frank Hentschel and Rudiger von Kummer. Clinical Neuroradiology 19: 244.
- 67.Hentschel F, von Kummer R. (2009) German Society of Neuroradiology. Response of the German Society of Neuroradiology to the guideline: "Ethically Appropriate Reaction to Incidental Imaging Findings in Brain Research" suggested by Thomas Heinemann, Institut fur Wissenschaft und Ethik, and Christian Hoppe, Klinik fur Epileptologie, Universitat Bonn, Germany, on January 9, 2009. Clinical Neuroradiology 19(2): 108-110.
- 68.Hernick AD, et al., "Sharing Unexpected Biomarker Results with Study Participants," Environmental Health Perspective 119, no.1 (2011): 1-5.
- 69. Hewitt RE, "Biobanking: The Foundation of Personalized Medicine," Current Opinion in Oncology 23 (2011): 112-19.
- 70.Hodgkinson K, Pullman D. (2010) Duty to warn and genetic disease. Canadian Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing 20: 12-15.
- 71.Hoggard N, Darwent G, Capener D, Wilkinson ID, et al. (2009) The high incidence and bioethics of findings on magnetic resonance brain imaging of normal volunteers for neuroscience research. J Med Ethics 35(3): 194-199.
- 72.Hoggard N, Darwent G, Capener D, Wilkinson ID, et al. (2009) The high incidence and bioethics of findings on magnetic resonance brain imaging of normal volunteers for neuroscience research. Journal of Medical Ethics 35(3): 194-199.
- 73.Human Genome Organisation (HUGO). (2007) HUGO ethics committee statement on pharmacogenomics. Genomics, Society, and Policy Journal 3(1).
- 74.Illes J, Chin VN. (2008) Bridging philosophical and practical implications of incidental findings in brain research. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 298-304.
- 75.Illes J, Kirschen MP, Edwards E, et al. (2008) Practical approaches to incidental findings in brain imaging research. Neurology 70(5): 384-390.
- 76. The International Cancer Genome Consortium, "International Network of Cancer Genome Projects," Nature 464 (2010): 993-98.
- 77.Janger EJ. (2005) Genetic information, privacy and insolvency. Journal of Law Medicine & Ethics 79-88.
- 78.Kapp MB. (2006) Ethical and legal issues in research involving human subjects: Do you want a piece of me? Journal of Clinical Pathology 59:335-339.

- 79.Kaufman D, Geller G, Leroy L, et al. (2008) Ethical implications of including children in a large biobank for genetic-epidemiologic research: A qualitative study of public opinion. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part C (Seminars in Medical Genetics) 148C: 31-39.
- 80.Kaufman D, et al., "Public Opinion about the Importance of Privacy in Biobank Research," Am. J. Hum. Gen. 85, (2009): 643-654.
- 81.Kaye J. (2004) Abandoning informed consent: The case of genetic research in population collections. Genetic Databases: Socio-Ethical Issues in the Collection and Use of DNA 131. (no pdf)
- 82.Keane MA. (2008) Institutional review board approaches to the incidental findings problem. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 352-355.
- 83.Kehagia AA, et al., "More Education, Less Administration: Reflections of Neuroimagers' Attitudes to Ethics Through the Qualitative Looking Glass," Sci. Eng. Ethics (2011), e-pub ahead of print available at http://www.springerlink.com/content/8082j118130794v8/ (login required).
- 84.Khoury MJ, "Current Priorities for Public Health Practice in Addressing the Role of Human Genomics in Improving Population Health," Am. J. Prev. Med. 40, no.4 (2011): 486-93.
- 85.Kirchoffer DG, & Dierickx K, "Human Dignity and Human Tissue: A Meaningful Ethical Relationship?" J. Med. Ethics (2011), e-pub ahead of print available at http://jme.bmj.com/content/early/2011/04/06/jme.2010.041509.full.pdf+html? papetoc.
- 86.Kleinschmidt A. (2007) Incidental neuroimaging findings: Lessons from brain research in volunteers. Current Opinion in Neurology 20(4): 387-389.
- 87.Knoppers BM, Laberge C. (2009) Return of "accurate" and "actionable" results: Yes! American Journal of Bioethics 9(6): 107.
- 88.Knoppers BM, et al., "From Genomic Databases to Translation: A Call to Action,"
 J. Med. Ethics (2011), e-pub ahead of print available at http://jme.bmj.com/content/early/2011/05/26/jme.2011.043042.short.
- 89.Kohane IS, Masys DR, Altman RB. (2009) The incidentalome: A threat to genomic medicine. JAMA 296: 212-215.
- 90.Kohane IS, Taylor PL. (2010) Multidimensional Results Reporting to Participants in Genomic Studies: Getting It Right. Science Translational Medicine 2(15). (pdf not available)
- 91.Kohane IS, "Using Electronic Health Records to Drive Discovery in Disease Genomics," Nature Reviews Genetics 12 (2011): 417-428.
- 92.Kollek R, & Petersen I, "Disclosure of Individual Research Results in Clinico-Genomic Trials: Challenges, Classification and Criteria for Decision-Making," J. Med. Ethics 37 (2011): 271-275.

- 93.Kubicki H. (2009) I still haven't found what I'm looking for but I may have found something else: Non-physician researchers and incidental findings in magnetic resonance imaging. St Louis University Journal of Health Law & Policy 2: 413.
- 94.Lawrenz F, Sobotka S. (2008) Empirical analysis of current approaches to incidental findings. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 249-255.
- 95.Lawrenz F, Sobotka S. (2008) Empirical analysis of current approaches to incidental findings. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 249-255.
- 96.Levy D, et al. (2010) Consent for Genetic Research in the Framingham Heart Study. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A 152A: 1250-1256.
- 97.Lunshof JE, et al. (2008) From genetic privacy to open consent. Nature Reviews Genetics 6:406-411.
- 98.McGuire AL, Beskow LM. (2010) Informed Consent in Genomics and Genetic Research. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics 11:361-81.
- 99.McGuire AL, Caulfield T, Cho MK. (2008) Research ethics and the challenge of whole-genome sequencing. Nature Reviews Genetics 9: 152-156.
- 100. McGuire AL et al., Ethical, Legal, and Social Considerations in Conducting the Human Microbiome Project, Genome Research 18 (2008): 1861-64.
- 101. McGuire AL, Lupski JR. (2010) Personal Genome Research: What Should the Participant Be Told? Trends in Genetics 26(5):199-201.
- 102. McGuire AL, et al., Ethical and Practical Challenges of Sharing Data from Genome-Wide Association Studies: The eMERGE Consortium Experience, Genome Research 21 (2011): 1001-07.
- 103. Mancini J, et al., "Consent for Biobanking: Assessing the Understanding and Views of Cancer Patients,: J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 103, no. 2 (2011): 154-57.
- 104. Meacham MC, Starks H, Burke W, Edwards K. (2010) Researcher Perspectives on Disclosure of Incidental Findings in Genetic Research. Journal of Empirical Research Human Research Ethics 5:31-41.
- 105. Meslin EM, Goodman KW. (2009) Biobanks and electronic health records: Ethical and policy challenges in the genomic age. Indianapolis: Center for Applied Cybersecurity Research, Indiana University white paper published Oct 2009.
- 106. Meslin EM, Goodman KW. (2010) An ethics and policy agenda for biobanks and electronic health records. Science Progress online article dated 25-Feb-2010.
- 107. Meulenkamp TM, Gevers SK, Bovenberg JA, Koppelman GH, van Hylckama Vlieg A, Smets EMA. (2010) Communication of Biobanks' Research Results: What Do (Potential) Participants Want? American Journal of Medical Genetics 152:2482.

- 108. Meyer MN. (2008) The kindness of strangers: The donative contract between subjects and researchers and the non-obligation to return individual results of genetic research. American Journal of Bioethics 8(11): 44-46.
- 109. Miller FA, Christensen R, Giacomini M, et al. (2008) Duty to disclose what? Querying the putative obligation to return research results to participants. Journal of Medical Ethics 34: 210-213.
- 110. Miller FA, Giacomini M, Ahern C, et al. (2008) When research seems like clinical care: A qualitative study of the communication of individual cancer genetic research results. BMC Medical Ethics 9: 4.
- 111. Miller FA, Hayeems HZ, Bombard Y, et al. (2009) Clinical obligations and public health programmes: Healthcare provider reasoning about managing the incidental results of newborn screening. Journal of Medical Ethics 35: 626-634.
- 112. Miller FA, Hayeems RZ, Bytautas JP. (2010) What is a meaningful result? Disclosing the results of genomic research in autism to research participants. European Journal of Human Genetics 1-5 (advance online publication).
- 113. Miller FG, Mello MM, Joffe S. (2008) Incidental findings in human subjects research: What do investigators owe research participants? Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 271-279.
- 114. Miller FA, et al., "What Does "Respect for Person" Require?: Attitudes and Reported Practices of Genetics Researchers in Informing Research Participants about Research," J. Med Ethics, June 2011, e-pub ahead of print available at http://jme.bmj.com/content/early/2011/06/16/jme.2010.041350.abstract.
- 115. Mitchell R, et al., "Genomics, Biobanks, and the Trade-Secret Model," Science 332 (2011): 309-10.
- 116. Morris Z, Whiteley WN, Longstreth WT, Jr, et al. (2009) Incidental findings on brain magnetic resonance imaging: Systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 339(aug17_1): b3016.
- Murphy J, Scott J, Kaufman D, et al. (2008) Public expectations for return of results from large-cohort genetic research. American Journal of Bioethics 8(11): 36-43.
- 118. Murphy J, Scott J, Kaufman D, et al. (2009) Public perspectives on informed consent for biobanking. American Journal of Public Health 99: 2128-2134.
- 119. National Bioethics Advisory Commission (NBAC). Research Involving Human Biological Materials: Ethical Issues and Policy Guidance. Rockville MD 1999:1.
- 120. National Cancer Institute (NCI). 50-State Survey of Laws Regulating the Collection, Storage, and Use of Human Tissue Specimens and Associated Data for Research, National Cancer Institute Cancer Diagnosis Program. 2004.
- 121. National Cancer Institute (NCI). Best Practices for Biospecimen Resources: Revised Draft Document for Public Review and Comment. 2010.

- 122. National Cancer Institute (NCI). National Cancer Institute Best Practices for Biospecimen Resources. June 2007.
- 123. National Cancer Institute (NCI). PLCO cancer screening trial: Background information. October 2009.
- 124. National Children's Study. Research Plan. September 17, 2007 (Version 1.3).
- 125. National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL) Congressional Research Service. Genetic Privacy Law. January 2008.
- 126. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). NHLBI Working Group on Reporting Genetic Results in Research Studies, Meeting Summary. Bethesda MD: July 12, 2004.
- 127. National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) Intramural Research Bioethics Core. Draft: Points to Consider in the Transition Toward Whole-Genome Sequencing in Human Research Subjects Protection. June 7, 2010.
- 128. National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI). Informed Consent Elements Tailored to Genomics Research. October 8, 2010.
- 129. National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (NIBIB). Points to Consider for Investigators: Incidental Findings in Imaging Research. June 15, 2009.
- National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH). NIMH Council Workgroup on MRI Research Practices, MRI Research Safety and Ethics Points to Consider. September 14, 2005.
- 131. National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS). Developing a Manual of Procedures (MOP). September 16, 2010.
- 132. National Institutes of Health (NIH). Guidelines for the Conduct of Research Involving Human Subjects at the National Institutes of Health, page 11. August 2004.
- 133. National Institutes of Health (NIH). Health Services Research and the HIPAA Privacy Rule. May 2005.
- 134. National Institutes of Health (NIH). NIH Points to Consider for IRBs and Institutions in their Review of Data Submission Plans for Institutional Certifications Under NIH's Policy for Sharing of Data Obtained in NIH Supported or Conducted GenomeWide Association Studies (GWAS). Version November 12, 2007.
- 135. National Institutes of Health (NIH). Policy for Sharing of Data Obtained in NIH Supported or Conducted Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS). 2007.
- 136. National Institutes of Health (NIH). Research Repositories, Databases, and the HIPAA Privacy Rule. 2004.
- 137. National Institutes of Health (NIH). Sheet 14: NIH Requirements For The Research Use of Stored Human Specimens and Data. June 2006.

- 138. Nicol D, & Critchley C, "Benefit Sharing and Biobanking in Australia," Public Understand. Sci. (2011): 1-22, e-pub ahead of print available at http://pus.sagepub.com/content/early/2011/04/20/0963662511402425.abstrac t.
- 139. O'Brien SJ. (2009) Stewardship of human biospecimens, DNA, genotype, and clinical data in the GWAS era. Annual Review of Genomics and Human Genetics 10: 193-209.
- 140. O'Daniel J, & Haga SB, "Public Perspectives on Returning Genetics and Genomics Research Results," Public Health Genomics (2011): 1-10, e-pub ahead of print available at http://content.karger.com/produktedb/produkte.asp?doi=10.1159/000324933& typ=pdf (login required).
- 141. Office for Protection from Research Risks (OHRP). Guidance on Protections for Human Subjects in the National Institute of General Medical Sciences Human Genetic Mutant Cell Repository. May 21, 1997.
- 142. Office for Protection from Research Risks (OHRP). Guidance on Research Involving Coded Private Information or Biological Specimens. October 2008.
- 143. Office for Protection from Research Risks (OHRP). Issues to Consider in the Research Use of Stored Data and Tissues. November 7, 1997.
- 144. Office for Protection from Research Risks (OHRP). Operation of Human Cell Repositories Under HHS Regulations at 45 CFR 46. August 19, 1996.
- 145. Office for Protection from Research Risks (OHRP). Submission of Non-Identifiable Materials to the Repository. May 22, 1997.
- 146. Office of Biotechnology Activities at National Institutes of Health (OBA). Secretary's Advisory Committee On Genetics, Health, and Society, Policy Issues Associated with Undertaking a New Large U.S. Population Cohort Study of Genes, Environment, and Disease. 2007.
- 147. Orme NM et al. (2010) Incidental Findings in Imaging Research. Archives of Internal Medicine 170: 1525.
- 148. Ormond KE, Cirino AL, Helenowski IB, et al. (2009) Assessing the understanding of biobank participants. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A 149a(2): 188-198.
- 149. Otlowski M. (2008) Developing an Appropriate Consent Model for Biobanks: In Defence of 'Broad' Consent, Principles and Practice in Biobank Governance. Editors Kaye J, Stranger M. (no pdf)
- 150. Palmour N, et al., "Informed Consent for MRI and fMRI Research: Analysis of a Sample of Canadian Consent Documents," BMC Medical Ethics 12, no. 1 (2011) available at http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/pdf/1472-6939-12-1.pdf

- 151. Park HJ, et al., "Incidental Findings of the Lumbar Spine at MRI During Herniated Intervertebral Disk Disease Evaluation," American Journal of Roentgenology 196, (2011): 1151-55.
- 152. Parker LS, Kienholz ML. (2008) Disclosure issues in neuroscience research Account Res 15(4): 226-241.
- 153. Pierce KR. (2009) Comparative architecture of genetic privacy. Indiana International & Comparative Law Review 19: 89.
- 154. Pierce SR, Lauer RT, Prosser LA, et al. (2009) Incidental findings during functional magnetic resonance imaging: Ethical and procedural issues. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 88(4): 275-277.
- Racine E, et al., "A Canadian Perspective on Ethics Review and Neuroimaging: Tensions and Solutions," Can. J. Neurological Sciences 38, no. 4 (2011): 572-579.
- 156. Ram N. (2008) Tiered consent and the tyranny of choice. Jurimetrics Journal 48(3):253-284.
- 157. Ram N. (2009) Assigning rights and protecting interests: Constructing ethical and efficient legal rights in human tissue research. Harvard Journal of Law and Technology 23:120-177.
- 158. Rangel EK. (2010) The Management of Incidental Findings in Neuro-Imaging Research: Framework and Recommendations. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 38:117-126.
- 159. Ray B, et al., "Effect of Ethnicity, Gender and Drug Use History on Achieving High Rates of Affirmative Informed Consent for Genetics Research: Impact of Sharing with a National Repository," J. Med. Ethics 37, no.6 (2011): 374-379.
- 160. Reardon J, "The 'Persons' and 'Genomics' of Personal Genomics," Personalized Medicine 8, no. 1 (2011): 95-107.
- 161. Richardson HS. (2008) Incidental findings and ancillary care obligations. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 256-270.
- 162. Ruiz-Canela M, Valle-Mansilla JI, Sulmasy DP. (2008) Researchers' preferences and attitudes on ethical aspects of genomics research: A comparative study between the usa and spain. J Med Ethics 35: 251-257.
- 163. Sadatsafavi M, Marra C, Li D, Illes J. (2010) An Ounce of Prevention is Worth a Pound of Cure: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Incidentally Detected Aneurysms in Functional MRI Research. Value in Health 13(6):761-769.
- 164. Schwartz LM, et al., "Not So Silver Lining," Arch. Int. Med. 171, no. 6 (2011): 489-90.
- 165. Shalowitz DI, Miller FG. (2008) The search for clarity in communicating research results to study participants. Journal of Medical Ethics 34(9): e17.

- 166. Shaw RL, Senior C, Peel E, Cooke R, et al. (2008) Ethical issues in neuroimaging health research: An IPA study with research participants. Journal of Health Psychology 13(8): 1051-1059.
- 167. Simon BM. (2009) How to get a fair share: IP policies for publicly supported biobanks. Stanford Journal of Law, Science & Policy 45:65-79.
- 168. Splansky GL, Corey D, Yang Q, Atwood L, et al. (2007) The third generation cohort of the national heart, lung, and blood institute's framingham heart study: Design, recruitment, and initial examination. American Journal of Epidemiology 185(11): 1328-1335.
- 169. Stawicki SP, et al., "Incidental Findings on Intensivist Bedside Ultrasonographic (INBU) Examinations: Why Should We Care?" OPUS 12 Scientist 2, no. 3 (2008) available at
 http://openmod.pic.ip/26/42/01/Ultrasound___lpsidental.pdf

http://openmed.nic.in/3642/01/Ultrasound_-_Incidental.pdf.

- Sutrop M, "How to Avoid a Dichotomy Between Autonomy and Beneficence: From Liberalism to Communitarianism and Beyond," J. Intern. Med. 269 (2011): 375-79.
- 171. Tercyak K, et al., "Parents' Attitudes Toward Pediatric Genetic Testing for Common Disease Risk," Pediatrics 127, no. 5 (2011): e1288-e1295, e-pub ahead of print available at

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/127/5/e1288.abstract.

- 172. Thompson RJ, et al., "Incidental Findings on CT Scans in the Emergency Department," Emergency Medicine International Volume, e-pub 2011, available at http://www.hindawi.com/journals/emi/2011/624847/.
- 173. Tovino SA. (2008) Incidental findings: A common law approach. Account Res 15(4): 242-261.
- 174. Ulmer S, Jensen UR, Jansen O, et al. (2009) Impact of incidental findings on neuroimaging research using functional MR imaging. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 30(4): E55.
- Ulmer S, Jensen UR, Jansen O, et al. (2009) Impact of incidental findings on neuroimaging research using functional MR imaging. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 30(4): E55.
- 176. Underkuffler LS. (2007) Human genetics studies: The case for group rights. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 35(3):383-395.
- 177. van der Lugt A. (2009). Incidental findings on brain magnetic resonance imaging. BMJ 339: b3107- b3107.
- 178. Van Ness B. (2008) Genomic research and incidental findings. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 292-297.
- 179. Volk ML, & Ubel PA, "Better Off Not Knowing: Improving Clinical Care by Limiting Physician Access to Unsolicited Diagnostic Information," Arch. Intern. Med. 171, no. 6 (2011): 487-88.

- 180. Wade CH, Kaffoglou AL. (2006) When do genetic researchers have a duty to recontact study participants? American Journal of Bioethics 6(6): 26-27.
- 181. Wallace S, Bedard K, Kent A, et al. (2008) Governance mechanisms and population biobanks: Building a framework for trust. GenEdit 6(2): 1-11.
- 182. Wallace S, et al. (2008) Governance mechanisms and population biobanks: Building a framework for trust. GenEdit 6(2):1-11.
- 183. Wallace SE & Kent A, "Population Biobanks and Returning Individual Research Results: Mission Impossible or New Directions?" Human Genetics (2011): e-pub ahead of print available at:

http://www.springerlink.com/content/hg13342268651472/ (login required).

- 184. Wendler D. (2006) One-time general consent for research on biological samples: Is it compatible with the health insurance portability and accountability act? Archives of Internal Medicine 166:1449-1492.
- 185. Wilke RA, et al., "The Emerging Role of Electronic Medical Records in Pharmacogenomics," Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics 89, no.3 (2011): 379-86.
- 186. Winickoff DE, et al. (2003) The charitable trust as a model for genomic biobanks. New England Journal of Medicine 349:1180-1184.
- 187. Winickoff DE, et al. (2005) Towards a social contract for genomics: Property and the public in the 'biotrust' model. Genomics, Society and Policy 1(3):8-21.
- 188. Winickoff DE. (2007) Partnership in the UK Biobank: a third way for genomic property? Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 35(3): 440-453.
- 189. Winickoff DE. (2008) From Benefit Sharing to Power Sharing: Partnership Governance in Population Genomics Research, Principles and Practice in Biobank Governance. Editors Kaye J, et al. 53-66.
- 190. Wolf L. (2010) Advancing research on stored biological materials: Reconciling law, ethics, and practice. Minnesota Journal of Law, Science & Technology 11:99-156.
- 191. Wolf SM, Lawrenz FP, Nelson CA, et al. (2008) Managing incidental findings in human subjects research: Analysis and recommendations. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 219-248.
- 192. Wolf SM, Paradise J, Caga-anan C. (2008) The law of incidental findings in human subjects research: Establishing researcher duties. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 36(2): 361-383.
- 193. Wood F, et al., "Achieving Online Consent to Participation in Large-Scale Gene-Environment Studies: A Tangible Destination," J. Med. Ethics (2011), epub ahead of print available at

http://jme.bmj.com/content/early/2011/04/08/jme.2010.040352.full.

- Yassin R, et al. (2010) Custodianship as an ethical framework for biospecimen-based research. Cancer Epidemiological Biomarkers Prevention 19(4):1012-1015.
- 195. Zawati MH, et al., "Incidental Findings in Data-Intensive Postgenomics Science and Legal Liability of Clinician-Researchers: Ready for Vaccinomics?" OMICS 15 (2011): 1-10.
- 196. Zwart H, Neils A. (2009) What is ELSA genomics? EMBO Reports 10(6): 540-544.